## NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

In the Matter of:

TAKI TOOO DCA-03-MM-035

\* \_\_\_\_\_\*

> Tuesday, June 17, 2003

INTERVIEW OF:

CAPTAIN PAUL D. JEWELL

PRESENT:

BILL WOODY

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Captain Jewell here.
3	LIEUTENANT GILMORE: Captain, Lieutenant
4	Gilmore. I am going to go ahead let, this is Bill
5	Woody, chairing and he is going to go ahead and
6	introduce everybody for you.
7	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Okay.
8	MR. WOODY: This is Bill Woody. On the
9	other side of the table, a little further away is
10	Bob Ford.
11	Bob, say hello.
12	MR. FORD: Hello, Captain.
13	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Hello.
14	MR. WOODY: And for the And Officer Pete
15	Wood from the Sheriff's Department.
16	MR. WOOD: Captain.
17	CAPTAIN JEWELL: How are you doing?
18	MR. WOODY: And Ken Lawernson.
19	MR. LAWERNSON: Hi, Captain.
20	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Hi.
21	LIEUTENANT GORDON: Captain, Kelly Gordon
22	here.
23	LIEUTENANT GILMORE: Okay. Captain, that is
24	everybody.
25	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Okay.

## EXECUTIVE COURT REPORTERS, INC. (301) 565-0064

LIEUTENANT GILMORE: Bill Woody here is 1 going to start off the questioning and he will lead 2 the format. 3 CAPTAIN JEWELL: Okay. MR. WOODY: Captain, we have a question on 5 the, regarding the Tillamook bar, the closing the bar and restricting the bar. And we would like to have you just give us an explanation of what the R Coast Guard does under these two headings. 9 CAPTAIN JEWELL: Restricting the bar applies 10 to recreational vessels and six packs. It is done 11 by the officer in charge out in Tillamook. I have 12 the, when the primary bar I am concerned with was my 13 AOR is the Columbia River Bar actually. 14 not, since I have been here, closed any bar. 15 would require me to forward on up to the district 16 commander and ask him to institute a regulated 17 navigational area. We haven't done that since I 18 have been here. The closing of the Columbia River 19 Bar, when it has been necessary to restrict it to 20 commercial traffic has been essentially done by the 21 bar pilots and they essentially just refuse to take 22 anybody across the bar. I don't have that kind of 23 commercial traffic in Tillamook and until this 24 weekend, Tillamook has, you know, the issue of bar 25

1	closing and restriction out there has never crossed
2	my desk here in Portland.
3	MR. WOODY: Now, we understand that
4	restricting the bar to recreational vessels and six
5	packs is something which is a local matter, but is
6	reported to the Group. Does that come, does that
7	information filter up to you?
8	CAPTAIN JEWELL: No, that is a different
9	group. I have, my group boundaries extend down to
10	Mile 45 and Columbia River, although I am the
11	captain of the Port Authority all throughout Oregon.
12	So, if they close, if they restrict Tillamook Bar,
13	that would be reported to Group Astoria and not
14	Group Portland.
15	MR. WOODY: All right. Now we have heard
16	criteria here from the I mean, about the
17	different conditions they consider when they
18	restrict recreational vessels. It is a matter, it
19	is a matter of judgement call based on what the
20	coxswain can see at the bar. Are there any written
21	criteria anywhere that give them guidance?
22	CAPTAIN JEWELL: There are, in Part 177 33
23	CFR, there is some written, it talks about other
24	unsafe conditions in regulated boating area and
25	Tillamook is considered a regulated boating area,

1	the Tillamook Bar is considered a regulated boating
2	area in 33. And there is a formula in there where
3	you can factor into wave heights and so on and so
4	forth. If you look at it, it is pretty complicated.
5	So, he has that to assist him, but, you know, it
6	doesn't surprise me that it is a judgement call out
7	there.
8	MR. WOODY: All right. We have reviewed also
9	one of the Coast Guard directives, which include
10	that formula and list the various pre designated
11	areas for local restrictions.
12	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Right.
13	MR. WOODY: And so, is there anything more
14	to it than that?
15	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Again, as I said, I really
16	don't get involved in the restricted areas and the
17	regulated boating areas. My primary concern here as
18	captain of the Port is the larger commercial vessels
19	and again primarily Columbia River Bar, Great
20	Harbor, and Coos Bay.
21	MR. WOODY: We certainly understand that.
22	Does anyone else have any questions of the
23	Captain on this subject here on closing bars?
24	The other area of interest that we have is
25	that of wearing life jackets. We have the master

chief's opinion about wearing life jackets and we 1 asked some questions, if there is anyway that life 2. jackets could be approved that would be more 3 agreeable for people to wear. Also, we have had the thing come up of if you take the life jackets and 5 use them in a routine manner for crossing the bar, then we store them, in effect you are using equipment that should be reserved for emergency purposes. I think that is kind of the thing we are thinking about. And we are thinking about, you 10 know, crossing hazardous bars, or crossing bars, put 11 it that way. 12 CAPTAIN JEWELL: Right. 13 MR. WOODY: Can you discuss that for a 14 general question for us? 15 CAPTAIN JEWELL: Yeah, well, the first part 16 of the question is, you know, can life jackets be 17 essentially more wearer friendly or wearable. 18 mean, in the last couple of years, the Coast Guard 19 has started to approve these inflatable life jackets 20 for certain use and those are, and the Coast Guard 21 wears those on our patrols. And they are certainly, 22 I mean, they are also for winter use, you know, they 23 were designed and approved the flotation coats, 24 which if you are going to wear coat, it might as 2.5

1	well float. So, I don't think that is as much of an
2	issue as it used to be, although certainly the
3	expense of those types of life jackets is an issue.
4	
5	The second part of the question is, was
6	what, again?
7	MR. WOODY: Well, I think if, I think I
8	believe the second part of the question was if there
9	were, was something that they could use for crossing
10	the bar that would be independent, perhaps, of the
11	storage of life jackets that would be set aside for
12	emergencies.
13	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Yeah, that is a good
14	question. It is my understanding that when 185 was
15	written, one of the original drafts made it a
16	requirement that the master provide life jackets, if
17	requested, but after comment, I think by the
18	commercial mariners, they took it out, to ensure
19	that they stay as a standing rule part of the life
20	safety equipment on the vessel. So, I mean, your
21	question gets to the fact should the regulations be
22	changed. That is the question I guess that needs
23	to be floated up to Headquarters.
24	MR. WOODY: Yes, I think that is the
25	question. And is there, does something like this

1	sound like a viable idea that deserves further
2	consideration?
3	CAPTAIN JEWELL: I think without question.
4	I think the way the regulation is written, 185,
5	particularly, you know, if the master required his
6	passengers to wear life jackets right now, it is
7	almost, he is almost admitting that things may be
8	too hazardous to proceed, and you know, the question
9	is if something happens why did the master proceed
10	in hazardous conditions. He made us, he made us
11	wear life jackets so the conditions must be
12	hazardous. I think, my personal opinion I think
13	that the regs need to be changed to make it more,
14	perhaps more restrictive or require the master to
15	issue life jackets in certain conditions, where they
16	won't be admitting to the fact that, you know, it
17	removes the choice possibly, I guess is what I am
18	getting to.
19	MR. WOODY: Right. And we were wondering
20	also if it might be possible to wear maybe a Type II
21	or III device to transiting across the bar.
22	(Pause.)
23	MR. WOODY: Some of these questions, I know
24	could be getting into what as sacred area and I am
25	just sort of brainstorming here in effect.

1	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Yeah. Well, you know, I
2	guess, if you are going to talk about changing
3	regulations to make it more permissible or
4	restrictive or I mean, that is something has to be
5	brought up with Headquarters. You know, I enforce
6	them, I don't write them out here.
7	MR. WOODY: Yes, I certainly understand. And
8	we weren't asking you for endorse, we were just
9	wondering if it would, on this coast, with these
10	bars we have, if it is, if it is an idea which
11	requires, you know, further thought.
12	CAPTAIN JEWELL: Yeah, well, certainly.
13	There is no question, in light of what happened this
14	weekend, something is broken and I think it needs a
15	change.
16	MR. WOODY: Okay. Any other questions around
17	the table for
18	(Pause.)
19	MR. WOODY: That is, I think you have kind
20	of, I think I have, given you the benefit of our
21	questions. We have been getting, the feelings we
22	have been developing here in asking questions of
23	people, you know, finding out the fact that the
24	operators are not in favor of life jackets as they
25	are now. We understand the people don't wear the

- 1 Type I that are bulky. So, we are just exploring
- this idea. And just wanted to, you know, there are
- technical things changing all the time and we
- 4 wondered whether you thought it was a practical
- feasibility to do this.
- 6 CAPTAIN JEWELL: Yeah. I do, I am on your
- 7 side on this.
- MR. WOODY: Okay.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That sounds good.
- 10 LIEUTENANT GILMORE: Well, Captain, I
- appreciate it. There is no other questions, we will
- let you go back to work.
- 13 CAPTAIN JEWELL: Okay. Thanks.
- MR. WOODY: Thank you.
- 15 (End of tape.)